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For Native people who live in a border region, the links across the boundary are at least as important as the boundary itself.
Introduction

Native Nations and U.S. Borders

International borders are complex places.

In emphatic fashion, they often mark separations: political, legal, cultural, and social, sometimes economic. But borders also are sites of convergence, places that meet and connect—nations, peoples, environments, languages, legal codes, militaries, and so on. And often, overshadowed by separation and convergence, there are continuities as well: ecosystems, relationships, and human communities struggling to sustain themselves despite the complications that borders impose upon them.

What borders often fail to be, ironically, is definitive. They mean different things to different people. Much of the population of the United States, for example, may see U.S. borders as critical dividing lines that mark the boundary between “here” and “there,” that signify compelling differences between what is familiar and essentially “ours” and another territory that is, in every sense, foreign.

But people who have lived for a long time in a border area may be more likely to focus on critical continuities that the border only partly upsets or obscures. The world that matters most to them is often a local or regional world, where “local” and “regional” embrace both sides of the border. For Native people who live in a border region, the links across the boundary are at least as important as the boundary itself.

This is especially the case for Indigenous peoples living near U.S. borders. For them, the two sides of an international boundary may compose a single contiguous space: a homeland, or a network of relationships reaching far back to a distant past, or a set of natural and cultural resources that are used in common and need to be protected and sustained, or perhaps the piece of earth out of which they—the people themselves—originally came.

Such contrasting perceptions are likely to be found wherever Native nations’ ancestral and present geographies collide with the contemporary boundaries that separate the United States from Canada, Mexico, and Russia (Map 1). In these regions, Indigenous ideas and practices—many of them multiple centuries old—meet U.S. border policies head-on, raising challenges both for the governments of the countries involved and for Native nations themselves.
Since September 11, 2001, and with growing political polarization on U.S. immigration issues, these challenges have become ever more difficult to address. Security is increasingly the focus of U.S. border policy. But policy discussions of security and immigration seldom include Native voices and seldom take Native views into account. And they rarely address how policies designed to protect international borders drastically affect Native nations that live near those borders or are divided by them.

Native Nations and U.S. Borders provides an overview of the historical and contemporary effects of international borders on the Indigenous nations of the U.S. We review ways those nations have responded to border-related challenges and discuss policy issues raised by the intersection of U.S. borders and Indigenous peoples.

This book seeks to inform discussions of border policy at all levels of government—tribal, local, state, and federal—and is intended to be a resource to Indigenous leaders; federal, state, and municipal policy-makers and authorities; researchers; and nongovernmental organizations whose jurisdiction or work involves border regions.

Organization of the Book

The book is divided into the following chapters:

**Border Nations: On the Margins, In the Middle.** This chapter describes the scope of the book and introduces the terminology we use. It also outlines five policy areas with which most border nations are particularly concerned: citizenship, crossing rights and border security, cultural concerns, environment, and public health and safety. While not all concerns are addressed in each chapter, they organize much of the material in the book.

**U.S. Borders and Indigenous Peoples: A History.** This chapter reviews the history of U.S. borders, summarizes some of the laws and treaties that have shaped the Indigenous border experience, discusses border developments since September 11, 2001, and touches briefly on the treatment of borders in the international discussion of Indigenous rights.
**South: The U.S.-Mexico Border Region.** This is the first of three chapters that examine specific regional issues and the approaches Native peoples have taken to border challenges. We focus particularly on the environment, immigration and security concerns, and on how Indigenous peoples work within an increasingly militarized border zone.

**North: The U.S.-Canada Border Region.** Using a format similar to that of the previous chapter, this chapter discusses policy concerns along the U.S.-Canada border and, in particular, their responses to border-crossing issues and the legal and cultural meanings of citizenship.

**Far North: Alaska’s Border Regions.** This chapter discusses issues facing Native peoples along Alaska’s borders with Canada and Russia and in the circumpolar region. These peoples are trying to address not only border crossing and cultural concerns but also the impacts of global climate change, and are reaching across borders in the process.

**Conclusion: Moving Forward.** We close by considering current policy issues, possible steps for governments—including the governments of Native nations—to take, and potential forums for discussion and implementation.

**References.** The references section at the end of this volume offers many more sources of border-related material.

**Appendix.** An appendix provides a list of American Indian nations located on or near U.S. borders.

**Notes**

1 Suarez is from the village of Bacum, Mexico, and represents the Traditional Council of Indigenous Nations in the northwest state of Sonora (Norell 1997a).

2 North America is not the only place where contemporary international boundaries cut through Indigenous lands and communities. While policy and legal regimes vary from place to place, many of the border issues found in North America and discussed in this book arise elsewhere as well.
For the most part ... Native nations have been excluded from border policy processes – from 19th century border-making to 21st century border fencing.
Border Nations: On the Margins, In the Middle

Introduction

Like other American Indian nations, those Native nations situated on or near U.S. borders are not only on the margins of U.S. society—poverty rates, low employment, ill health, substandard housing, and other socioeconomic indicators place them there—but are often on the margins of policy-making as well.

Yet these nations find themselves right in the middle of border-related processes: marginally involved in decisions, centrally involved in effects. In a concrete sense, they are on the margins and in the middle. But they remain nearly invisible in both, and their voices on border issues are seldom heard beyond their own communities.

These Native nations and the issues that they face are the focus of this book. In this chapter, we identify and locate what we refer to as “border nations.” We then consider the particular issues of common concern to them.

Scope and Definitions

We consider here those Native nations whose lands and peoples are directly affected by the current international boundaries between the United States and Canada, Mexico, and Russia. We use the terms American Indian, Native American, and Indigenous more or less interchangeably throughout the book to identify or describe Indigenous persons or peoples from the North American continent who are citizens of Native nations (or First Nations in Canada).

WHAT IS A “BORDER NATION”?

We define a border nation as one whose lands or people straddle an international U.S. boundary or are located adjacent to or near such a boundary, or for whom U.S. border policy and activities raise significant challenges.

Admittedly, this conception is imprecise. What does “near” mean? How close to the border? And how significant a challenge is required? There are no obvious answers to these questions, and we are aware that what happens along U.S. borders can have powerful effects on distant communities—and vice-versa. In lieu of precision, we have asked ourselves a more general question: does the U.S. international border...
matter, on a regular basis and in a readily apparent way, in the lives of these nations’ citizens?

This means that our list of border nations (see Appendix) includes not only those nations whose lands approach or straddle an international boundary but also those that have cultural links or shared histories across borders or whose sense of peoplehood embraces communities on both sides.

Examples include the Confederated Tribes of Colville, Washington, and the Okanagan communities in British Columbia, Canada. Some of these communities are quite far from the U.S.-Canada border, but they share a great deal, including a Salish language “spoken in present-day British Columbia and Washington, in an area that extends north-south along the Okanagan Valley from what is now Enderby, B.C., through Vernon, Kelowna, Penticton, Oliver, Osoyoos; Oroville, Omak, and Okanogan, Wash.; also north-south along the Sanpoil and Kettle River valleys; and in the area west of the Columbia River as far west as Grand Coulee Dam.”

Not all the citizens of these nations see themselves as one people, but many of them do. Our definition of a border nation thus includes location and other kinds of connections: culture, language, law, and history.5

LOCATIONS OF BORDER NATIONS

In the United States, more than 40 Indigenous nations have reserved or traditional lands that span contemporary international borders with Mexico and Canada, while the Inupiat, Yupik, Aleut, and others occupy territory spreading from eastern Russia through Alaska and into Canada. Of the 40 or so Indigenous nations whose people now live on both sides of an international U.S. border, twelve have reservations that either touch or are within a mile of the Canadian or Mexican border. Many more have relationships—including kinship ties—that straddle these borders.

Maps in subsequent chapters identify and locate the border nations whose situations are the subject of this book. Even here, however, Indigenous conceptions of their lands receive only partial recognition. These maps show Indigenous lands according to current boundaries generally recognized by the United States and other countries. But these boundaries fail to include traditional territories over which some Native nations—particularly in Canada—still assert certain rights.6

Policy Issues

Regardless of the proximity of Indigenous peoples, political boundaries present policy challenges of their own. They automatically create at least two jurisdictions, complicating regional policy-making. They often disregard the contours of natural systems, such as watersheds, raising a host of environmental and resource management challenges. They often create political separations between those areas where problems are most acutely felt—where toxics accumulate, for example—and those areas where solutions might be most efficiently implemented—where those same toxics, for example, are produced.7

Furthermore, as has been noted elsewhere, “policy-makers tend to regard border regions as peripheral, a perception that leads to the marginalization of border residents’ concerns during policy design.”8

This last effect has been especially acute for Indigenous peoples, already marginalized in U.S. policy-making. International borders and the policies that govern them have drastically altered numerous aspects of life for Native nations in border regions, from how they perceive citizenship in their own nations to matters of security, culture, collective identity, language, public health, the natural environment, and the management of resources such as water, lands, and wildlife. For the most part, however, Native nations have been excluded from border policy processes – from 19th century border-making to 21st century border fencing.
But those nations have not simply been passive recipients of border effects. In various ways and to varying degrees, they have responded to these effects, trying to overcome the impacts that imposed boundaries have imposed on them, rebuilding kinship, cultural, and economic links across borders and forming cross-border coalitions—tribal, inter-tribal, and international—to address border concerns.

One such group, for example, is the United Indian Nations of the Great Lakes, an association of Indigenous nations in two Canadian provinces and eight U.S. states (see sidebar). All the nations in the organization are located in or directly affected by the Great Lakes basin, which straddles the U.S.-Canada border.

The message in many such responses is that international borders may have political and legal force, but border nations will continue to see themselves, and attempt to act, as single or allied peoples, sustaining their own conceptions of who they are and exercising rights to manage their own affairs, including those affairs whose scope extends across these boundaries.

Indigenous efforts to address border concerns tend to revolve around recurring issues, some of which are more prominent in one region than another. While the issues are often interconnected, we’ve grouped them into five categories: citizenship, crossing rights and border security, cultural concerns, environment, and public health and safety.

CITIZENSHIP

When the United States, in interaction with European powers and later Canada, Mexico, and Russia, established contemporary U.S. boundaries, it seldom took Indigenous nations into account. As a consequence, citizenship has become a prominent and sometimes contentious issue for many Indigenous people in the borderlands, particularly where borders cut through their communities and land bases, turning some community members into citizens of one country, some into citizens of another.

The most extreme case is perhaps the northernmost one, where, as Eben Hopson of the Inuit Circumpolar Conference said, “[W]e Inupiat live under four of the five flags of the Arctic coast.” But this is not only a problem in the far north; no U.S. border has taken the geographies of Indigenous communities into account. In combination with immigration laws and border security practices, the effect has been to undermine and complicate the relationships out of which community itself is constituted. The result is a citizenship conundrum.
Citizenship and sovereignty

American Indian nations have the right to determine citizenship within their own nations, a right that finds support also in international understandings of human rights. But while American Indian nations can determine who their own citizens are, their decisions have no necessary implications for American citizenship, which is determined by the U.S. government.

This leads to situations in which Native nations view relatives living outside the U.S. as fellow citizens of their own nations, entitled to participate in governance processes and to receive services that their nations provide. But the U.S. refuses to recognize those same persons as U.S. citizens and prevents them from moving freely within what a Native nation may consider its own lands. Indigenous decisions about citizenship, in other words, have minimal force beyond the border, despite the fact that the border runs through social and political structures that pre-existed the United States and its adjacent international neighbors, Mexico, Canada, and Russia.

This raises a host of questions. Must Indigenous persons maintain only one form of citizenship? Does Mexican citizenship, for example, preclude citizenship in the Cocopah Tribe, located in southwestern Arizona? Does Cocopah citizenship preclude Mexican citizenship? Who decides? How does a person establish or prove citizenship? And how does an Indigenous nation deal with individuals whom it views as citizens but who may lack citizenship in the larger country of which that nation is a part?

Documentation of citizenship

Documentation challenges further complicate the situation. Indigenous persons with proof of U.S. citizenship or immigrant visas can travel across U.S. borders relatively unimpeded. Unfortunately, proving citizenship is often difficult for those without birth certificates—a common situation in some Indigenous communities, particularly isolated ones where births may happen at home. As a result, obtaining visas is becoming increasingly difficult for Indigenous citizens in Canada and Mexico. Furthermore, some Indigenous people wonder why they need proof of American citizenship to move freely within traditional homelands where their people long preceded the establishment of the United States.

Some Indigenous nations, determined to act as sovereign peoples, have produced their own passports for use in international travel. The Haudenosaunee, also known as the Iroquois Confederacy (comprising the Mohawk, Oneida, Onondaga, Cayuga, Seneca, and Tuscarora nations) have their own national passport. The Iroquois National Lacrosse Team has traveled internationally on these documents that read: “You may lose your Haudenosaunee nationality by being naturalized in, or taking an oath or making a declaration of allegiance to, a foreign state.”

The Haudenosaunee, also known as the Iroquois Confederacy (comprising the Mohawk, Oneida, Onondaga, Cayuga, Seneca, and Tuscarora nations) have produced their own passports for use in international travel.

The Western Shoshone Nation has a passport that Joe Kennedy, one of the Nation’s councilors, used in his travels from North America to Guatemala for the Third Continental Summit of Indigenous Nations and Pueblos of Abya Yala in March 2007. Kennedy remarked, “I feel good and I feel honored that the Guatemalan authorities welcomed me into
CROSSING THE U.S.-MEXICO BORDER
The Kumeyaay Tribes

The Kumeyaay people, made up of several groups in present-day California and Baja California, have created their own border crossing procedures, including documenting all Kumeyaay citizens north and south of the border in a Kumeyaay census. This census is available to agencies that regulate passage across the border.

Additionally, the nation has selected Tecate, a historically significant gathering place for its people and a current border crossing point, as its official Kumeyaay port of entry. Interested primarily in relatively brief gatherings, visits, and cultural activities, the Kumeyaay have adopted a “Pass-Repass” program that provides border-crossing cards valid for 72 hours and for travel up to 25 miles north of the border.16

Through practical experience and the educational efforts of the Kumeyaay tribes, border agents become familiar with these procedures and with tribal citizens. For the Kumeyaay, these procedures, while not ideal, address at least some of the difficulties of maintaining their own community, split as it is between two countries.

CROSSING THE U.S.-CANADA BORDER
The Ktunaxa Nation

In addition to passports, there are other options for Indigenous people traveling across borders. For example, the Ktunaxa people include communities in British Columbia, on the Canadian side of the border, and in Idaho and Washington, on the U.S. side.16

The Ktunaxa Nation Council (KNC) in British Columbia has developed memoranda of understanding (MOUs) with state governments to ease crossings for Ktunaxa citizens during ceremonies and special events, with specific attention paid to broader interpretations of citizenship and the handling of sacred objects.17

Among other provisions, border agents have agreed to participate in cultural training and minimize examination of Ktunaxa citizens, while the KNC notifies border agents of increased traffic connected to special events across the border.

The MOUs provide a practical arrangement between the Ktunaxa people and border officials. Unlike the passport option, an MOU is an “episodic” document, but is also an exercise in everyday sovereignty. By preemptively negotiating with border agencies, the Ktunaxa Nation provides protection for its citizens and is represented as an independent entity to both U.S. and Canadian governments.